

SAPhS' Member Survey 2018

[Ansicht](#)[Bearbeiten](#)[Übersetzen](#)[Webform](#)[Resultate](#)[Submissions](#)[Analysis](#)[Tabelle](#)[Herunterladen](#)[Leeren](#)

This page shows analysis of submitted data, such as the number of submissions per component value, calculations, and averages. Additional components may be added under the "Add analysis components" fieldset.

professional status

employed	40
self-employed	5
student	1

membership

supporting	27
collective	3
fellow	16

member since

1 year	4
1–5 years	22
More than 5 years	20

fee

adequate	41
too high	3
too low	2

member card

yes	10
no	36

mission

agree	44
disagree	2

task & activities

agree	45
not agree	1

e-newsletter

yes	36
no	10

science day (SPhSD)

yes	43
no	3

pharmalunch

yes	31
no	15

pharmapéro

yes	32
no	14

other

yes	7
no	39

interested in active contributions to SAPHW

yes	27
no	19

Suggestions for new collective members:

- ZHAW, Patient Organizations, Pharma Big 5

Recommendations:

- Keep science day and continue on this level, i.e. invite key speakers, motivate and award young scientists by organising poster session (or short presentations).
- Pharm Apero should really focus on Doc and Post-Doc people - Bridge to Industry/University.
- Normally good speakers and interesting topics. Medium groups at PharmaLunches encourage very good discussions and exchange of ideas.
- Supporting research projects actively, via 'strong networking' or financially especially those projects strengthening the image or /and role of the pharmacist.
- Make a joint pharma day with GSIA for example.
- Dedicated symposia to specific current topics w/ sister organisations (eg, GSIA).
- More interactions between academia, industry and pharmacy practice.
- Board should have a broader representation of different stakeholders, e.g., 2 of each academic institution, 5-10 from industries, 5 from hospital pharmacy, 5 from public pharmacy, 2-3 from regulatory bodies (Swissmedic, Federal Office of Public Health, ...).
- Different stakeholders should be motivated to engage in organizing local topical meetings, within their own organization, with limited necessary efforts and costs (use available infrastructure and support by institutions).

Recommendations (cont.):

- I doubt that the designation of "Academia" is helpful; it sounds pretentious in relation and comparison with the four big Swiss Academia. The terms "society", "association", or "federation" might be more appropriate and less elitist.
- An annual program with activities to be supported by volunteering members could potentially attract members for more activity inside. Also joint activities with sister societies could attract and make more visibility, e.g. SSPT Society Meeting in Jan on pharmacists delivering drugs upon patients symptoms!
- I think the organisers do a great job. However, there is an overwhelming competition by courses and symposia and therefore attention has to be attracted by specific means, one of them is the e-newsletter. Probably, the date for the science day could be questioned.
- Andere Akademien (v.a. SAMW, SAGW) äussern sich sichtbar zu aktuellen (gesellschaftlichen) Themen in öffentlichen Medien/Debatten.
Wo ist hier die SAPHW?
- Supporting member since 2016. Interested in active contribution to SAPHW. VERY MUCH interested to participate in Swiss Pharma Science Day and other events organized by SAPHW. The main obstacle: Visa requirements, for the participation in any event Invitation letter needed, not to mention high cost of travel/accommodation in Switzerland!
Remark: I am member of German Pharmaceutical Association and I have free access in their journal Pharmacon...
- Pharmalunch is only in Basel, would be nice to have it also in other places.